



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/761,721 | 01/18/2001 | Mitsuru Maeda | 35.C15052 | 35.C15052 5429 | | |
| 5514 75 | 5514 7590 . 09/02/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | K CELLA HARPER & S | BAUM, RONALD | | | | |
| 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | 2136 | | | | |
| | | • | DATE MAIL ED: 00/02/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|----------------|
| 09/761,721 | MAEDA, MITSURU |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Ronald Baum | 2136 |

| | | Ronald Baum | 2136 | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REP | LY FILED <u>23 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The this plac a Retime | reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the followes the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| b) 🗍 | The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f). | ng date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| have been under 37 C set forth in may reduce | of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) DF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee the action; or (2) as |
| filing | Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extentice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The (a) (b) | e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet | nsideration and/or search (see NC w); | TE below); | |
| (d) [| appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | - | jected claims. | , |
| 5. | amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. olicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al | : | | |
| 7. X For how The Clair Clair | allowable claim(s). purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is prostatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed: m(s) objected to: m(s) rejected: 1-42. | | ill be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Clair | m(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The beca | T OR OTHER EVIDENCE affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good annot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and |
| ente shov | affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owning a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ls to provide a). |
| | e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attach | ed. |
| | e request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| | te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ner: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | |
| | | | | |



Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amending of claims 1,8,18,25,35 and 37 (and the associated dependent claims by dependency) to add the limitations dealing with "... at least one section ... consisting of ...", may relate to prior art of record in a manner not covered in previous office actions. However, the amended claims, inter alia, change the scope of the said claims (i.e., consisting vrs. comprising), and would therefore require additional search. The examiner declines to reopen prosecution. Thus, any such claims submitted formally after final rejection would not be entered..

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100